

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—When the motion is not there, what is the use of having an Explanation?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Even Amendment No. 149 goes; also Amendment Nos. 150 and 151. There is one amendment in the name of Sri Gajanan Pandit. He is not present. Amendment No. 152.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—I do not know if this particular idea comes appropriately in this section. I have given today notice of an amendment to section 66 and 67 incorporating the same thing.

Mr. SPEAKER.—So, he is not moving it.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—I will move it under section 66.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Then I will put to vote clause 63 as amended. The question is:

“That Clause 63 as amended stand part of the Bill.”

*The motion was adopted.*

Clause 63 as amended was added to the Bill.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA. (Nanjangud).—Sir, I wish to make a statement in this connection. The Government has made a discrimination and I want the existing holders.....

Mr. SPEAKER.—He has already said all that.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—So far as the position is concerned, we want to disassociate ourselves because this clause is of a discriminatory character.

Sri S. D. KOTHAWALE.—I rise to a point of order. Clause 63 is now accepted by the House. The Leader of Opposition wants to make a statement on it now. There is no provision permitting to make any statement, either a statement of policy or otherwise at this stage. There is no such provision in the Rules of Procedure.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Sir, what is the section under which Mr. Kothawale is raising this point of order? Sir, the House has now accepted clause 63. I represent the opposition and say that we completely disassociate with this kind of a decision because it is of a discriminatory character and it is calculated to cater to the needs of the vested interest. As a protest, we shall go out.

*(The opposition members withdrawn from the House)*

Mr. SPEAKER.—I am very sorry that such an attitude has been adopted. After all, when a clause is adopted by the House, may be by majority or unanimous but it is binding on every Member of the House and there is no question of any Member dissenting. Otherwise democracy will not function at all.